

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/872,647 | SRIVASTAVA ET AL. |
| | Examiner Satish S. Rampuria | Art Unit 2191 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Satish S. Rampuria. (3) _____.

(2) TZOU, ERICH (Reg. No. 56,927). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

1,3-4,19-20,17,32

Prior art documents discussed:

No.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exmanimer proposed an exmainer amendment to claims 1, 17, and 32. For details please see the Examiner's answer.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)